

# **ESG Economic Validation**

# The Economic Advantages of Google Cloud BigQuery versus Alternative Cloud-based EDW Solutions

# **By Aviv Kaufmann, Principal Validation Analyst** June 2022

# **Executive Summary**

As organizations look to modernize toward unified data cloud strategies and multi-cloud analytics strategies to extract timely actionable intelligence from their massive and evergrowing sea of data, it is important that they understand the differences between cloudbased data warehouse solutions. Comparing solutions is not as easy as looking at a spec sheet; one must investigate how they were designed, how they operate, where they are heading, and how they integrate both within and across cloud ecosystems. Cloud-based solutions such as Google Cloud BigQuery, AWS Redshift, Azure Synapse Analytics, and Snowflake can provide significant savings and benefits over an on-premises EDW, but they are designed and implemented quite differently. Google Cloud BigQuery Up to 27% Three-year TCO savings versus alternative cloudbased EDW deployments

ESG created a total-cost-of-ownership (TCO) model that compared the expected costs and benefits of satisfying the needs of a modeled organization with each of these cloud-based EDW solutions over a three-year period. Many of the costs and assumptions used in this modeled scenario were validated with real-world customers or by examining vendor case studies. ESG's conservative models found that BigQuery can provide a three-year TCO that is up to 27% lower than the other three cloud-based solutions. **The elimination of upfront investment, reduction in management complexity, and ability to integrate natively with other solutions contributed largely to the decrease**. Moreover, ESG saw that the underlying architecture of Google Cloud BigQuery, decoupling compute capability and storage capacity, also contributed to lowering overall expenses.

# Introduction

This ESG Economic Validation focused on the quantitative and qualitative benefits organizations can expect to realize with Google Cloud BigQuery when compared with other leading cloud-based enterprise data warehouse (EDW) services.

# Challenges

Modern data-driven organizations collect data from an increasing variety of sources, devices, and locations. The once clear-cut line between data designated for structured data warehouses or unstructured data lakes has been blurred, and organizations are taking a more efficient approach and consolidating their data strategies in the cloud. Building around a data cloud provides IT agility, scalability, simple consolidation of data sources, and access to an unparalleled variety of AI and ML tools and services designed to extract insight and intelligence. A recent ESG research study found that leveraging public cloud to support data initiatives results in improved agility to respond to business needs faster, proximity to data sources and applications already in the cloud, faster deployment times, an ability to support higher levels of end-user concurrency, and improved availability and security.<sup>1</sup>

### Figure 1. Top Benefits of Leveraging Public Cloud to Support Data Initiatives

Which of the following do you consider to be benefits of leveraging public cloud to support data initiatives? (Percent of respondents, N=338, multiple responses accepted) Improved agility to respond to business needs faster Many data sources and applications already cloud-based 43% Faster deployment time 43% Ability to support higher levels of end-user concurrency 12% Better availability than can be delivered on-premises Better security than can be delivered on-premises 11% Faster time to value for new projects 40% More frequent feature/functionality updates 30% Avoid systems integration effort and risk of building infrastructure/platform Increased geographic coverage

More elastic scalability

Pay-as-you-go versus acquiring equipment



Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: ESG Research Report, <u>Cloud Analytics Trends</u>, March 2022. All ESG research references and charts in this economic validation have been taken from this research report unless otherwise noted.

Our research also shows that, while 86% of organizations believe they are effective at acting on new data insights, only 4% of these organizations are able to extract insight from their data in real time, and a staggering 45% of organizations say it takes them weeks or longer to gain this insight. The traditional on-premises data warehouse is no longer agile or scalable enough to keep up with the ever-changing demands of modern data-driven organizations. A strategy that incorporates cloud data warehouses, data lakes, AI, ML, and real-time streaming and processing of data will improve both the quality and timeliness of insight. While there are many cloud-based EDW solutions to choose from, the implementation of these solutions can be quite different. Choosing the right EDW solution requires planning around an effective data cloud strategy and an understanding of not only the underlying technology, but also of the operational capabilities and pricing models.

# The Solution: Google Cloud BigQuery Serverless Enterprise Data Platform

BigQuery is a cloud-based, fully managed, serverless enterprise data platform that supports analytics over petabyte-scale data. It delivers high-speed analysis of large data sets while reducing or eliminating investments in onsite infrastructure or database administrators. BigQuery scales its use of hardware up or down to maximize performance of each query, adding and removing compute and storage resources as required.

BigQuery is designed to streamline big data analysis and storage. Some of the specific advantages of BigQuery for businesses that work with big data include:

- Time to value Get the data warehouse environment online quickly, easily, and without expert-level system and database administration skills by eliminating the infrastructure and reducing the management (known as "No Ops" or "Zero Ops"). BigQuery's <u>migration assessment</u> and services, free data ingest, <u>automated SQL translation</u>, and streaming engine technologies minimize the time, cost, and effort to gain insight from data.
- Simplicity Complete all major tasks related to data warehouse analytics through an intuitive interface without the hassle of managing the infrastructure or clusters. Additionally, free tools like BigQuery Migration Service help with each phase of migration including assessment and planning to execution and verification and Data Studio provides free visualization of data usage and trends., and free Google Cloud also offers tools like Dataform, Dataplex, Data Catalog, Connected Sheets and BI Engine, to make managing queries, data pipelines, metadata, and caching simpler for users and the organization.
- Scalability Transparently scales compute capacity to meet the needs of any sized operation with no planning required. Start with a small amount of data and scale up to exabytes depending on size, performance, and cost requirements.
- Agility Act faster on new business opportunities, explore data sets to uncover new insight, leverage built-in machine learning capabilities of BQML using standard SQL queries, and innovate beyond the traditional expectations of a data warehouse. BigQuery Omni provides an agile multi-cloud analytics strategy without having to move or copy data between Google, AWS, and Azure clouds. Analyze data natively from a wide variety of storage such as Cloud SQL, Cloud Storage, Amazon S3, Azure Blob Storage, Google Drive, and Google Sheet-supporting lakehouse.
- **Speed** Ingest, query, and export petabyte-size data sets with impressive speeds using the Google Cloud Platform as the underlying cloud infrastructure and seamlessly access fast, in-memory analysis service with BI Engine.
- **Reliability** Ensure always-on availability and constant uptime running on the Google Cloud Platform with georeplication across Google data centers and up to 99.99% uptime SLAs (vs 99.9% for other providers).

- Security Protect and control access to encrypted projects and data sets through Google's cloud-wide identity and access management (IAM).
- **Cost optimization** Predict costs with transparent and flexible flat rate and pay-as-you-go pricing options and contain costs through the use of project and user resource quotas.

BigQuery is self-scaling; it identifies resource requirements for each query to finish quickly and efficiently and provides those resources to meet the demand. Once the workload has completed, BigQuery reallocates those resources to other projects and other users. While both transferring data in and processing that data for results, BigQuery delivers tremendous speeds even at petabyte scale.

For enhanced data durability, BigQuery provides high availability and reliability through geographic replication that is completely transparent to its users, without the requirement to obtain the physical resources and space to house it all. BigQuery provides automatic backup and snapshots of your data sets, allowing for point in time restoration and ensuring that VPC service controls and data encryption (both in transit and at rest) are built-in to provide enhanced levels of security.

Ultimately, BigQuery enables organizations to address the cost and complexity challenges associated with building and maintaining a fast, scalable, and resilient big data infrastructure. By leveraging BigQuery's cloud-based approach, the time and cost traditionally dedicated to protecting data and guaranteeing uptime is nearly eliminated. With Google Cloud handling scalability, replication, protection, and recovery, organizations can focus more on gaining valuable insights, as opposed to infrastructure management.



Figure 2. Google Cloud BigQuery

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc.

#### **BigQuery versus Alternative Cloud-based EDW Services**

ESG compared BigQuery's serverless EDW solution with some alternative EDW services offered by AWS, Microsoft, and Snowflake. While all of these offerings provide significant cost savings, reduction in complexity, and increased business agility when compared with an on-premises EDW solution, there are some significant differences between the offerings.

**Amazon Redshift:** Redshift is AWS' cloud-based and fully managed data warehouse service. Like an on-premises cluster, Redshift is based on the concept of nodes (virtual nodes) that must be deployed, configured, and managed. The management is greatly simplified since there is no hardware to physically administer and maintain. To scale the

deployment, similar nodes of a fixed compute and storage capacity are added simultaneously, sometimes resulting in provisioning more compute or storage capabilities in order to meet the requirements of the other. Redshift clusters require planning and sizing, configuration and access through a "leader node," and scheduled updates several times per year. While AWS does offer many flexible pricing options, to achieve the greatest savings, organizations must pay for three years of service upfront, tying them to both the vendor solution and the particular instance type that they have chosen. AWS has released plans to provide a serverless version of Redshift, but, at the time of publication, this was still in preview.

**Microsoft Azure Synapse Analytics:** Azure Synapse Analytics is a cloud-based service that combines solutions for data integration, serverless or dedicated EDW, and big data analytics into a unified analytics platform. The EDW solution (formerly Azure SQL Data Warehouse) leverages a massively parallel processing (MPP) architecture to process Polybase T-SQL queries. Compute and storage (Azure Blob Storage) resources are separated and can be scaled independently. Azure Synapse Analytics makes use of a control node that automatically directs parallel queries across all other compute nodes and moves data between those nodes as required. Compute resources are sold according to predefined service levels in Data Warehouse Units (DWUs) that provide a given set of compute resources for use in processing queries.

**Snowflake**: Snowflake is a managed data warehouse-as-a-service (DWaaS) that can be deployed on Google Cloud, AWS, or Azure infrastructure. Snowflake does not require management of physical or virtual hardware, installation of software, or maintenance. Snowflake also separates compute and storage resources and makes use of an MPP architecture behind the scenes. At the time of deployment, customers must select from preconfigured virtual data warehouses in various sizes (small, medium, large, x-large, etc.), which are priced based on "credit-hours" consumed. Per-credit cost varies based on region, cloud service, and support level. Virtual warehouses are usually siloed based on business unit and can be paused and resumed automatically to limit cost and resized when required. Snowflake also suggests making use of a dedicated virtual warehouse to handle data loading and ingest to avoid contention in resources required for queries and offers alternative services with added cost and reliability tradeoffs.

**BigQuery Serverless EDW:** Google Cloud's BigQuery solution is completely serverless, self-scaling, self-maintaining, and self-tuning. There are no nodes or virtual warehouses to plan, configure, or scale. The complexity of sizing, managing, and maintaining the physical infrastructure is handled behind the scenes by Google, removing the burden from the organization. End-users gain the benefit of all the auto-tuned and optimized resources working simultaneously. Customers can either pay by the total amount of data processed per month or opt to pay a flat rate fee based on number of "slots" (effectively the reserved quantity of parallel resources that are made available for running queries). While slots can be allocated to a particular department to process queries, unused slots may be allocated to other departments to handle bursts, optimizing slot utilization. Improvements to optimize queries are added monthly with a goal of shortening query execution time and minimizing the amount of data processed—thus minimizing on-demand costs to the end-user. BigQuery also supports native SQL-based machine learning (BQML) and GIS analysis, BigLake tables for querying data lakes, and BigQuery Omni for cross cloud analytics, allowing all users to benefit from the power of advanced analytics without the need to integrate with other solutions or move data between technologies and clouds.

Figure 3 depicts the four solutions compared in this analysis.



Figure 3. Functional Comparison of Cloud-based EDW Solutions

# ESG Economic Validation

ESG's Economic Validation process is a proven method for understanding, validating, quantifying, and modeling the economic value propositions of a product or solution. The process leverages ESG's core competencies in market and industry analysis, forward-looking research, and technical/economic validation. To validate the assumptions and costs included in the analysis, ESG conducted in-depth interviews with and reviewed case studies of organizations that had previously migrated their operations from a legacy on-premises EDW solution into BigQuery. This helped to better understand and quantify how the change to BigQuery impacted or affected their organizations. We used our findings to create a detailed economic model comparing the expected costs and benefits of BigQuery against the competitor's on-premises and cloud-based solutions.

# **Economic Value Overview – BigQuery versus Alternative Cloud-based EDW Solutions**

ESG's economic analysis revealed that BigQuery can provide significant capital and operational savings and tangible benefits when compared with other cloud-based EDW solutions. ESG found that BigQuery provided customers with significant savings and benefits in these categories:

- Elimination of upfront investment and planning BigQuery's serverless design is billed monthly with flexible ondemand or flat-rate pricing that eliminates the need to pay months or even years in advance to reduce cloud spend and eliminates the guesswork and planning required to size resources correctly. This gives the organization the greatest business agility when it comes to cloud services costs.
- **Reduction in operational expenses** BigQuery eliminates the need to manage virtual EDW nodes as well as the need to monitor, troubleshoot, update, tune, and plan for growth. Google Cloud Storage is automatically optimized for cost, patching and maintenance are not required, and the support team is well trained and responsive. This leaves administrators more time to focus on other areas of the business.

 Greater business agility and reduction in cost of daily administration – BigQuery scales up or down as needed to meet the changing business demands, enabling organizations to quickly act on new opportunities without the need to plan configuration requirements, pause databases, or spin up dedicated warehouse instances for each organization. The solution also helps to eliminate or reduce the time spent on database administration, ETL management, and new schema modification. BigQuery also is the only solution that provides native AI/ML and also supports native querying of data lakes with BigLake and a multi-cloud analytics strategy with BigQuery Omni.

# 5

#### Elimination of Upfront Investment and Planning Deployment Size

Migrating from an on-premises EDW to any cloud-based EDW solution eliminates the need to make a large upfront investment in a physical infrastructure and helps to avoid overprovisioning physical compute and storage hardware for growth and spikes in demand. However, not all cloud-based EDW solutions completely avoid the need to make upfront investment or the need to plan the deployment size or overprovision to handle spikes in workload.

• Elimination of upfront investment – BigQuery requires no paid upfront investment to achieve the greatest level of savings for compute resources. BigQuery's flat-rate pricing is part of a contract, with the cost is paid monthly.

"We had roughly 60 people planning for, managing, and maintaining physical or virtual infrastructure nodes on prem and on AWS. That was a significant portion of our team that we were able to redeploy on other activities." Snowflake does offer discounts for up-front payments and lower storage costs to those that reserve capacity, but this does not require an upfront investment (the customer is, however, required to pay for unused storage). Both AWS Redshift and Azure Synapse Analytics require annual contracts and upfront investment to achieve the greatest savings. This money must be paid one or three years in advance, even though the value of the service is not realized until months or years later. Organizations should be sure to take the additional cost of capital into consideration when comparing upfront to on-demand costs.

- No planning of infrastructure deployment size With BigQuery, customers do not have to plan and size the
  configuration to meet their needs. BigQuery is completely serverless and customers do not have to plan or adjust the
  supporting infrastructure. With AWS Redshift, the size and quantity of the instances required to handle ingest and
  workload must be predicted. With Azure Synapse Analytics, users must choose the cDWU rating that best meets their
  needs. Snowflake users must determine the mix of storage and the size of the virtual data warehouse that best suits
  the needs of each business unit as well as for a dedicated warehouse used for loading data.
- No need to grow deployment BigQuery on-demand does not require monitoring of capacity utilization or growing
  the environment when limits are reached, and flat rate pricing limits can easily be expanded when needed. BQ can be
  autoscaled to scale up to a set ceiling during times of burst and return back to normal levels. Scaling up resources for
  Azure Synapse Analytics and Snowflake are relatively easy but require some degree of planning and administrative
  activity. AWS Redshift deployments can be scaled up, but this requires not only management overhead, but also some
  temporary downtime of the cluster as instances are added.
- No need to overprovision The BigQuery infrastructure utilizes all resources available to process queries and does
  not have to be overprovisioned to handle unexpected spikes in workload. AWS Redshift, Azure Synapse Analytics, and
  Snowflake Virtual Warehouses define a fixed set of resources that are available for queries to run against and must be
  overprovisioned to handle ingest, reclustering, and vacuum processes. Under that system, a configuration that is too
  small negatively impacts queries, leading organizations to size and pay for deployments sized to handle the worstcase scenario for bursty workloads. BigQuery allows any resource in any department of the organization to make use

of available fixed slots and shared capacity where other solutions' departments cannot benefit from idle or overprovisioned compute or storage on other departments' clusters, further limiting resource efficiency based on the number of clusters deployed. Even though multi-clustered deployments on Snowflake can help overcome scaling limitations, they must grow by a minimum of 2x the resources, further contributing to overprovisioning inefficiency in many cases.

**Reduced Cost to migrate** – While each vendor offers tools and services to help migrate data into their cloud database, customers reported that migration to BigQuery was made notably fast and simple with free tools provided by Google Cloud while some other vendors tools came at additional cost. Google Cloud has made significant investments in technology acquisitions, improvements, and tools for migration and onboarding. Google's automated assessment tool helps to understand assets and prioritize tasks. Batch data ingest is free. Batch and interactive SQL translation through their acquisition of CompilerWorks reduces manual time and effort and reduces risk of errors. The Google Cloud Data Validation tool validates source and target tables. By reducing the resources required to plan, translate, ingest, validate, and train customers in new schema, BigQuery can limit up front operational cost and speed time to business value.

_	Table 1: comparing cloud wascu EDW solutions, ophone investment, i familia, and Aginty				
		Google Cloud BigQuery	AWS Redshift	Azure Synapse Analytics (Dedicated SQL Pool)	Snowflake
	Upfront Investment (Paid in advance of	None. Flat-rate contracts are still paid	One-year or three-year contracts with upfront investments required to	One-year or three-year reservations required to receive discounts, or	None for compute. Reserved storage capacity required for

#### Table 1, Comparing Cloud-based EDW Solutions: Upfront Investment, Planning, and Agility

monthly not un-front

services rendered)	montniy, not up-front.	receive competitive rates.	pre-purchase Syanapse Commit Units (SCU).	lowest price whether used or not.
Sizing and Planning	No planning required.	Must predict correct instance sizes, and reserved instances limit agility.	Predict cDWU required. Can scale up/down easily on demand, but reservation limits agility.	Predict virtual warehouse size required for each business unit.
Agility / Growth	Zero-impact scaling. No adjustment required for on-demand pricing. Flat-rate slots can be increased slots whenever needed.	Resizing cluster size requires cluster downtime.	Simple scaling of pool through a portal, but must manually scale up and scale back.	Scale by adding new virtual warehouses or growing the size of individual virtual warehouses. Multi- clustered warehouses must grow by 2x.
Overprovisioning for Capacity Growth or Performance Spikes	None.	Must overprovision enough instances to handle worst-case scenario or increase operational overhead and downtime of scaling up and down nodes as needed.	Must guess at maximum performance levels and pay for a worst-case scenario. It is simple to scale up if needed, but not automated.	Must overprovision enough instances to handle worst-case scenario or increase operational overhead of scaling up and down warehouses as needed.

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc.



Eliminating the need to manage and maintain hardware on-premises brings about substantial operational savings for the organizations that migrate to cloud-based services. The completely serverless nature of BigQuery brings about additional operational advantages, even when compared with other cloud-based EDW services aimed at reducing these costs.

• Eliminates node management – BigQuery is completely serverless and stateless. AWS Redshift requires virtual node management for tasks such as configuring network access and VPNs, allocating resources, updating software, maintaining access to resources, and growing

and shrinking the cluster. Azure Synapse Analytics requires maintenance, operation through a control node, and manual scaling. Snowflake is a little more hands off than the others, but still requires manual scaling and management of multiple virtual warehouses.

 Reduced storage management – BigQuery is the only cloud-based EDW solution of the four that offers fully managed, self-optimizing storage. Data older than 90 days is automatically moved to cost-effective storage to "Our main headache with our previous cloud data warehouse was maintaining the database and tuning and configuring the cluster. With BigQuery we can focus on our pipelines, and the data, and our results."

reduce costs. BigQuery maintains replicated copies of data for 11 nines availability, encrypts all data before writing to disk, supports efficient delta-based clones and snapshots, and allows repartitioning of tables.

- Zero compute maintenance BigQuery and Snowflake are maintained non-disruptively to the user in the background. Snowflake nodes keep state, and bringing them down can impact performance. Azure Synapse Analytics and AWS Redshift require that manual maintenance windows be scheduled, and updates can result in downtime.
- Support While not a clear operational advantage for any cloud-based solution, it should be noted that Google Cloud, AWS, and Azure have large, established support operations and professional services organizations, while Snowflake is trying to scale to meet current and future support demand.
- Unified Data Cloud Strategy Modern organizations benefit from using Google Cloud's unified data cloud strategy to get the most from their data and further reduce cost and complexity by integrating and optimizing BigQuery with other Google Cloud data products. Google Cloud BigLake allows organizations to unify data lakes and warehouses on a single set of data. This simplifies data governance and lifecycle management and reduces duplicate copies of data while simplifying and accelerating ingest and data transformation. BigQuery Omni allows BigQuery to access data in other public clouds and BigSearch provides querying capability for JSON-based log analytics.

#### Table 2. Comparing Cloud-based EDW Solutions: Operational Expenses

	Google Cloud BigQuery	AWS Redshift	Azure Synapse Analytics (Dedicated SQL Pool)	Snowflake
Virtual Node Management	None; completely serverless.	Management node required with manual configuring and scaling of nodes.	Control node required with simple scaling of data warehouse compute units.	Serverless but may have to manage siloed data warehouses, and a dedicated warehouse to load data is suggested.
Storage Management	Self-optimized storage automatically moves data to cost-effective storage.	Manual storage migration and aging.	Single storage tier.	Must manage data movement and decide between expensive fast storage and economical capacity storage.
EDW / Node Maintenance	Managed by Google Cloud in the background with no downtime.	Manual updates of nodes during scheduled downtime.	Updates of nodes during scheduled downtime.	Managed by Snowflake in the background with no downtime.
Enterprise-level Support	Percentage of cloud spending with minimum spending (lesser support levels available).	Percentage of cloud spending with minimum spending (lesser support levels available).	Requires customized quote for premier support (lesser support levels available).	Priced into hourly compute credit cost (lesser support levels available).

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc.

# Improved Business Agility and Reduction in the Cost of Daily EDW Administration

Daily EDW administration often consists of tasks such as managing the ETL process between systems; configuring, managing, and monitoring the platform and database; troubleshooting; controling access; maintaining security; developing new services; collaborating with business analysts; providing data for reports and dashboards; and integrating applications and other cloud services. ESG found that when compared with an on-premises solution, BigQuery and the other cloud-based solutions can eliminate some tasks altogether and make other tasks simpler and quicker through automation and integration. BiqQuery provides additional management simplicity, even when compared to the other cloud-based services:

• Eliminated need to monitor and resize virtual resources – BigQuery administrators do not need to spend time monitoring or scaling the virtual resources of the system (nodes, compute units, or virtual warehouses). Since BigQuery concurrency limits are soft, they can easily be increased when needed. For those that do wish to monitor the BiqQuery environment, many functions allow for insight into query performance, trends, billing, and system audits with the ability to create automated alerts based on thresholds. BigQuery performs automatic reclustering of tables for optimal performance and eliminates the need to perform periodic maintenance like vacuum processes that can consume resources and negatively affect performance.

Improved business agility – Responsive scalability means that queries can be completed sooner, informed
decisions can be made faster, and resources to satisfy time-sensitive business opportunities are available at all
times. Resources do not have to be allocated or expanded to meet the needs of new opportunities. BQ flat rate
customers can share idle resources between slot pools to handle bursts. BigQuery customers reported that they
were much more agile and able to act on opportunities much faster with no impact to the business.

"If we had been stuck on our previous cloud-based data warehouse solution during the pandemic, we never could have reacted fast enough and sustained the growth that we saw. BigQuery allowed us to easily scale the business to meet this demand." • Simplified ETL and management of data sources – The customers whom ESG spoke with reported that flexible options allow them to stage data either on-premises or in Google Cloud and store data in a variety of options within Google Cloud (Cloud Spanner, BigTable, Cloud Storage, etc.) or from external data sources. These flexible options allow for greater possibilities and capabilities through the use of other Google Cloud products. Google Cloud Dataform helps to simplify data pipeline design and management. Some alternative options exist on the AWS and Azure ecosystems, but Snowflake has limited options.

- Simplified data ingest Data ingest is one of BigQuery's strengths when compared with the alternative cloudbased EDW solutions. ESG reviewed the results of credible third-party testing that showed BigQuery scored 1.9x to 2.4x higher than the alternative solutions at data ingest. Batch Data ingest is free and consumes zero customer query capacity on BigQuery. AWS Redshift, Azure Synapse Analytics, and Snowflake all require far more complex configurations and tasks to manage ingest operations, and ingest operations leverage user compute resources, essentially requiring overprovisioning of compute resources to meet workload demand. The Snowflake service suggests deploying a dedicated virtual warehouse for data loading and ingest, adding both cost and management complexity.
- Simplified legacy EDW-related tasks BigQuery customers reported saving time by eliminating backups and system maintenance tasks, simplifying processes such as onboarding, managing workload priorities, and maintaining partitions.
- Greater flexibility of SQL queries and schemas BigQuery, AWS Redshift, and Azure Synapse Analytics often do
  not require any schema change from most existing DW solutions, but BigQuery also provides for some enhanced
  functions that can help optimize schemas and make queries faster and more powerful. Snowflake also offers
  optimizations but leverages proprietary code that requires learning and experience. Google Cloud BI Engine
  provides low latency in-memory performance without having to deploy another dedicated and more expensive
  in-memory cluster solution on alternative clouds. Materialized views help to optimize queries, and DataPlex helps
  to simplify management of metadata.
- Simplified access control and security Google Cloud Deployment Manager can help automate creation of IAM custom roles, which provide simplified project management and access control via the flexibility to quickly manage access to resources by functional role, organization, data lifecycle stage, or project. BiqQuery eliminates the need to manage individual users or organize and grant permissions and has security and encryption features built in with BigQuery or customer managed keys.



# Table 3. Comparing Cloud-based EDW Solutions: Improved Agility/Simplified EDW Management

	Google Cloud BigQuery	AWS Redshift	Azure Synapse Analytics (Dedicated SQL Pool)	Snowflake
Plan and Size Virtual Resource Requirements for New Workloads	No additional sizing required.	Size AWS instances, considering compute, memory, and local storage.	Size additional DWU requirement.	Size new siloed virtual warehouse or scale existing warehouse.
Provision Cluster / Virtual Warehouses / Networking	No provisioning is required.	Provision VPCs, network, user access, and storage resources on new instances. Downtime required.	Configure instances, access, and firewalls	Simple provisioning.
Update Schema / Scripts / Queries <sup>2</sup>	Few changes required for most standard solutions.	Few changes required for most standard solutions.	SQL Server customers will require no changes.	Few changes required for most standard solutions.
Manage / Monitor / Scale Virtual Resources	None required. Automatic re-clustering of tables.	Monitor cluster for performance and scale up/back as needed. Reserved instances cannot be scaled back. Requires periodic vacuum process.	Monitor cluster for performance and scale up/back or pause/resume as needed. Reservations cannot be scaled back. Requires periodic vacuum process.	Monitor cluster for performance and scale up/back/out. Reliance on caching can result in unpredictable performance. Requires periodic vacuum process.
Manage User Access / Security	Simplified IAM controls; role-based access with automatic patching.	Manage users and security for each cluster. Patching during scheduled windows.	Manage firewalls and AD authentications. Patching during scheduled windows.	Simplified role-based and object-level permissions with automatic patching.
Manage Data Loading / Ingest / Streaming Data	Flexible data ingest, transformation, and streaming options. Highest performance network. ETL/ELT with Cloud Data Fusion.	Complex data loading and streaming requires Kenesis service. Ingest consumes allocated compute resources. ETL/ELT with AWS Glue.	Complex data loading and streaming requires Azure DataBricks cluster. Ingest consumes allocated compute resources. ETL/ELT with Azure Data Factory.	Separate warehouse is suggested to be running for data loading purposes. Snowpipe service is only near real time.
Integrate with Other Cloud-based Tools and Services	Designed for integration with many Google Cloud services including DataFlow, DataProc, CloudDB, PubSub, and sheets, requiring minimal management overhead. Multi-cloud analytics with BQ Omni.	Manual integration with AWS services; some management and configuration overhead.	Manual integration with Azure services that requires management and complexity overhead. Some redundancy of resources.	Bolt-on integration with services from other vendors requires management and operational overhead.
Integrate with AI / ML Workloads	Designed to be used in conjunction with AI/ML workloads with BigQuery ML.	AI/ML requires integration with other solutions and transformation of the data.	AI/ML requires integration with other solutions and transformation of the data.	No AI/ML workload support; must use other solutions.

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc

## **Migration Considerations**

Migrating on-premises EDW workloads to a cloud-based EDW solution or service provides significant advantages and opportunities for cost savings, but this cannot be realistically achieved overnight. Organizations should analyze which tasks are involved and devise a solid plan for deciding when to move their EDW to the cloud.

**Migration strategy for EDW:** Organizations should consider whether they wish to migrate data all at once and flip the switch on existing operations or migrate operations slowly over a period of years while phasing out the on-premises EDW. Organizations should consider the costs involved to migrate in terms of time, physical transfer of data, professional services, potential downtime, etc.

- **Development and testing costs** Organizations should consider the costs involved in retooling applications, transforming data into new schemas, rewriting optimized queries, testing, validating, troubleshooting, creating custom applications, retraining developers, etc.
- **Process redesign** Change presents the opportunity to improve many processes and systems. Organizations should consider the cost in terms of time and money to make changes to the ETL process, including streaming capabilities, integrating with other cloud products, and educating business teams and IT resources on the new process and tools.
- Server and software costs Organizations should consider the costs associated with supplemental resources such as on-premises or cloud-based staging servers or software and SaaS licenses, without forgetting to balance these new costs against any potential savings gained by migrating to the cloud.
- **Multi-cloud strategy** Organizations should consider the ability to leverage their cloud-based data warehouse to access data stored on any public cloud. Big Query Omni allows data to be analyzed using Big Query on AWS or Azure without having to migrate or copy data to the Google Cloud. This provides both cost savings and greatly improved flexibility and agility.

To help ensure a successful migration, Google Cloud provides organizations with a prescribed migration framework with resources, funding, and a proven methodology.<sup>3</sup>

# **ESG Three-year Modeled Scenario**

ESG leveraged the information collected through vendor-provided material, public and industry knowledge of economics and technologies, and the results of customer interviews to create a three-year TCO/ROI model that compares the costs and benefits of satisfying a modeled organization's EDW requirements with BigQuery versus three other cloud-based EDW solutions. ESG's interviews with customers who have experience with multiple cloud EDW solutions and publicly available pricing information and sizing guidelines, combined with our experience and expertise in economic modeling and technical validation, helped to form the basis for the assumptions used in our modeled scenario.

To reflect the size and scale of the customers interviewed, ESG considered a scenario of a typical large organization (over 10,000 employees) with requirements to store and analyze approximately 200 TB of data generated by several business units. Of this data, 110 TB was assumed to be a fixed-size data set of historical and updated tables that did not expire, and ESG assumed that 250 GB of data was ingested daily with a retention period of 365 days. ESG assumed that the BigQuery deployment was priced at an annual flat-rate capacity of 2,000 slots. The other solutions were sized using conservative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some changes to existing on-premises schema, scripts, or queries may be required depending on solution and support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Source: Google Cloud, <u>Migrating Teradata and other data warehouses to BigQuery</u>, August 2019.

estimates of relationships between number of BigQuery fixed slots and ECU (AWS Redshift), DBU (Azure Synapse Analytics), and Snowflake deployment size. These relationships were obtained in good faith by analyzing information collected in the field from head-to-head POCs, solution replacements, and published data. In practice, the competitive solutions may require even more resources be deployed to deal with the additional resource overhead required for data ingest, reclustering, and vacuum processes, which are free of charge and have no negative impact to performance on BigQuery.

The configuration assumptions for each solution are shown in Table 4. To reflect the benefit of storing compressed data versus uncompressed data, BigQuery required the full 200 TB of data be stored on Google Cloud Storage, while all of the other solutions required only half of this capacity (~100 TB) based on the assumption of 2:1 compressibility of the data. It should be noted that Google Cloud-compressed data storage will be available in Q3 2022. For AWS Redshift, the latest generation dense compute nodes were used, and only the remainder of the required capacity that was not able to fit on the included SSD space was priced as S3 storage.

	Google Cloud BigQuery	AWS Redshift	Azure Synapse Analytics (Dedicated SQL Pool)	Snowflake
Compute / Service Pricing Option	Flat Rate Pricing (Annual Agreement) (paid monthly)	One-year Reserved Instance Pricing (42% Savings) (paid upfront)	One-year Reserved (37% Savings) (paid upfront)	Business Critical (AWS US East) (\$4.00/Credit)
Compute / Service Configuration	2,000 Fixed Slots	16 x dc2.8xlarge instances	DW6000c	44 Credits (assumed average utilization of 12hrs/day)
Annual Cost of Capital for Upfront Spend	N/A	8%	8%	N/A
Cloud Storage	199.1TB Google Cloud Storage or 99.56TB compressed storage. (auto optimized for cost savings)	19.6 TB of usable storage included with instances plus 79.9 TB of S3 storage	99.56 TB of Azure Blob Storage	99.56 TB of Capacity Storage (paid upfront for 43% savings)
Streaming Service / Data Loading	Streaming Inserts	AWS Kinesis	Azure Databricks (Standard tier, 6 DBU)	Large Data Warehouse (8 Credits x 8hrs/day)
Support Level	GCP Business-critical	AWS Enterprise Support	Azure Premier Support	Business-critical (Support included in credits)

Table 4. Configuration Assumptions Used in ESG's Three-year Modeled Scenario

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc

Because this was not designed as a study of moving on-premises workloads to the cloud, ESG did not estimate the time and cost of initial solution migration and complexity for each vendor in this analysis.<sup>4</sup> We assumed it to be equal for all solutions. ESG also assumed that the performance supplied by these configurations would satisfy the SLAs of the business, and no direct performance advantage was given to one solution over the other. In practice, these factors can vary widely based on an organization's environment and should be carefully considered by the organization. BigQuery may, in fact, have advantages in both of these aspects, but we conservatively did not assign any cost advantage to any solution based on time and complexity to migrate or improved/more predictable performance.

Based on our conservative assumptions, ESG found that the BigQuery solution provided up to 27% lower total cost of ownership than AWS Redshift (26% lower), Microsoft Azure Synapse Analytics (27% lower), and Snowflake (27% lower) over the modeled three-year period. Figure 4 shows the estimated three-year total cost of ownership for each of the four cloud-based EDW solutions to satisfy the needs of the modeled scenario.



Figure 4. Estimated Three-year Cloud-based Data Warehouse Solution Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

# **Upfront Cloud Spend (Prepaid Capital Investment)**

When estimating cloud spend, we conservatively compared Google Cloud's flat-rate pricing with a cost-effective solution for each of the three competing solutions over the three-year period. For AWS Redshift and Azure Synapse Analytics, this involved signing agreements and paying upfront one year in advance to achieve the reported level of savings (37-42% savings) for compute resources. For payments made one year in advance, we calculated the financial impact of paying for the service before the benefit was received by calculating a conservative 8% cost of capital to any portion of the remaining payment in which the benefit has not yet been realized (similar to a diminishing interest payment calculation). As a result, the overall cumulative cloud spending for AWS and Azure Synapse Analytics was slightly lower than for BigQuery. It should be noted, however, that by reserving compute in advance, the solution becomes far less flexible, making it more difficult to take advantage of improved technologies or scale back the deployment to lower costs. Discounts for AWS and Azure can be increased further by reserving resources for up to three years in advance. However, this further reduces the flexibility of the solution. It should also be noted that ESG's models predict that, when selecting on-demand pricing for all solutions,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ESG studied the cost of moving on premise workloads to the cloud in <u>The Economic Advantages of Migrating Enterprise Data Warehouse</u> <u>Workloads to Google BigQuery</u>, March 2019.

16

BigQuery's expected three-year TCO was estimated to be 60% to 66% lower than the other cloud-based solutions.<sup>5</sup> BigQuery and Snowflake required no upfront payment.

# **On-demand Cloud Costs**

Monthly cloud spending consisted of the estimated bill that would be received by the organization each month for compute, storage, and/or services. The compute cost of AWS Redshift was all paid upfront annually, and the instances selected each provided roughly 20% of the total storage capacity required. Monthly cloud spending for AWS Redshift consisted only of 79.9 TB of S3 storage capacity and the estimated cost of Kinesis streaming service to handle 760 GB of streaming data. The cost of Azure Synapse Analytics compute was also paid upfront annually. Azure Synapse Analytics monthly cloud spending consisted of 99.6 TB of Azure Blob storage and a modest Azure Databricks setup (6DBU) to handle ETL and steaming operations. Snowflake monthly spending consisted of 528 daily credits (44 credits x 12 hrs/day) for virtual warehouses, an additional 64 daily credits (8 credits x 8 hrs) for the data loading virtual warehouse and Snowpipe service, and 99.6 TB of capacity storage. Snowflake monthly cloud spending also includes the cost of support built in, so they are reported here rather than in support/maintenance. BigQuery costs included 2,000 fixed rate slots, optimized cloud storage costs (data older than 90 days moved to more cost-effective storage), and streaming inserts.

# **Administrative Costs**

Administrative costs for each of the solutions were established on good faith estimates based on expert and end-user opinions and case study analysis. ESG modeled the expected one-time or weekly hours that an employee would spend on planning and purchasing, administration of virtual cloud servers or nodes, EDW software and DB administration, ETL and query administration, and user/process administration. All of the cloud-based solutions provided significant administrative savings when compared with ESG's on-premises models. Planning and purchasing for all four solutions is greatly simplified in comparison to planning on-premises deployments, but AWS Redshift and Azure Synapse Analytics each would require some time spent on sizing and analyzing the solution before making a three-year upfront investment, while nonideal sizing of the BigQuery or Snowflake environment could be easily remedied at any time.

Once deployed, the Redshift and Azure Synapse Analytics solutions would require monitoring, configuration, and tuning of virtualized resources (instances or nodes), while both the BigQuery and Snowflake solutions are fully managed behind the scenes. BigQuery was the only solution that did not require tuning and configuration of the EDW software and database. BigQuery and Snowflake were reportedly easier to manage from an ETL, query administration, and user/process administration perspective, and this provided the majority of the administrative savings in our analysis. BigQuery simplified ingest, and the ability to support native AI/ML and integrate natively with other tools provided additional administrative savings. Figure 5 compares the modeled three-year administrative costs for each of the four solutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To estimate BigQuery on-demand costs (billed per TB queried), our models assumed each ingested GB of data was queried 100 times per month.



#### Figure 5. Estimated Three-year Administrative Spending for ESG's Modeled Scenario

Source: ESG, a division of TechTarget, Inc

#### **Support Contracts**

Enterprise-level support contracts for BigQuery and Redshift are similarly priced and based on monthly cloud spending with a minimum of \$15K/month. Azure Premier support pricing is not published and requires a customized quote, but ESG estimated it (with low confidence), based on available information, at \$175K/annually. While it does not have a major effect on the bottom line of the analysis, Azure support costs may be lower than reported in our analysis. Snowflake support is built into the per-hour credit cost, and thus could not be broken out and reported separately. ESG's model included Snowflake support in the on-demand cloud cost category.

#### **The Bigger Truth**

It should be a top priority of every organization to produce quality business intelligence and actionable insight as quickly and cost-effectively as possible. Cloud-based data warehouse services offer IT organizations significant advantages in agility and availability, while greatly reducing time to insight. Whether an organization is looking to build a multi-cloud analytics solution, employ a modern unified data cloud strategy, migrate its on-premises data warehouse operation to the cloud, or augment existing operations with cloud-based EDW services to handle new opportunities, it is important to understand the differences between offerings and choose the solution that provides the organization the greatest agility, flexibility, and interoperability with other services at the lowest cost.

ESG compared the cost and capabilities of four leading cloud-based EDW solutions: BigQuery, AWS Redshift, Azure Synapse Analytics with dedicated SQL pool, and Snowflake. ESG leveraged interviews with end-users who had experience with multiple solutions as well as case studies to form conservative assumptions used to model the total cost of ownership (TCO) to satisfy the needs of a modeled organization's EDW requirements over a three-year period. Our model predicted that BigQuery can provide a 27% lower TCO in a solution that provides simpler operation and greater agility and scalability, with native capabilities and interoperability with other important cloud-based services.

BigQuery is designed to remove all of the physical and logical burden of managing, monitoring, maintaining, and securing EDW infrastructure, allowing organizational resources to focus on acting on intelligence rather than maintaining the process of obtaining it. BigQuery is the only fully managed solution (no physical or virtual nodes to manage and maintain) with self-optimized storage and native support for AI/ML workloads. BigQuery provides savings over the other cloud-based EDW solutions by providing simpler operation and daily administration and by eliminating the need to size the deployment,

manage the environment, and plan for growth of virtual resources. In addition, BigQuery requires no upfront investment and can easily scale up or down to meet the ever-changing needs of the business.

BigQuery is the only native cloud solution that was designed from day one with true serverless and streaming capabilities and has been delivering and refining the tools and processes across 10 years of customer deployments. Other cloud data warehouses may have been built by leveraging designs and principles passed down from on-premises solutions, but they are slowly starting to realize the importance of serverless and streaming capabilities and are starting to implement them by using bolt-on automation.

Today's EDW solutions must act as a global repository of information, provide the agility to scale up or down with demand, and seamlessly integrate with other analytics and operational functions. But simply comparing public price sheets is not enough—organizations must consider the cost of people and process as well. ESG recommends every organization perform its own analysis to compare solutions, and we hope that this report helps to identify the areas that should be considered when comparing cloud-based EDW services. We also strongly recommend that organizations consider BigQuery in conjunction with other cloud-based services from Google Cloud to power the platform that provides next-generation business insight across their entire organization.

All product names, logos, brands, and trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Information contained in this publication has been obtained by sources TechTarget, Inc. considers to be reliable but is not warranted by TechTarget, Inc. This publication may contain opinions of TechTarget, Inc., which are subject to change. This publication may include forecasts, projections, and other predictive statements that represent TechTarget, Inc.'s assumptions and expectations in light of currently available information. These forecasts are based on industry trends and involve variables and uncertainties. Consequently, TechTarget, Inc. makes no warranty as to the accuracy of specific forecasts, projections or predictive statements contained herein.

This publication is copyrighted by TechTarget, Inc. Any reproduction or redistribution of this publication, in whole or in part, whether in hard-copy format, electronically, or otherwise to persons not authorized to receive it, without the express consent of TechTarget, Inc., is in violation of U.S. copyright law and will be subject to an action for civil damages and, if applicable, criminal prosecution. Should you have any questions, please contact Client Relations at <u>cr@esg-global.com</u>.



**Enterprise Strategy Group** is an integrated technology analysis, research, and strategy firm that provides market intelligence, actionable insight, and go-to-market content services to the global IT community.

© 2022 TechTarget, Inc. All Rights Reserved.