May 2025 | White Paper, sponsored by Google Cloud

The State of AI Code Assistants in Enterprises

Arnal Dayaratna
Research Vice President,
Software Development, IDC

Michele Rosen
Research Manager,
Open GenAI, LLMs, and the Evolving
Open Source Ecosystem, IDC

THIS PDF USES HYPERLINKS

Table of Contents

CLICK ANY HEADING TO NAVIGATE DIRECTLY TO THAT PAGE.

Situation Overview . . . 3

The Current State of Adoption of AI Coding Assistants . . . 3

Key Definitions . . . 5

IDC Opinion . . . 6

The Impact of Long-Term Use . . . 8

9 Benefits of Adopting AI Coding Assistants . . . 11

Developer Productivity . . . 15

Key Organizational Considerations for Adopting AI Coding Assistants . . . 17

Barriers to Adopting AI Coding Assistants . . . 17

Developer Skills . . . 18

Security and Compliance . . . 18

Quantification of Value . . . 19

Decision Criteria for Selecting AI Coding Assistants . . . 20

Beyond Code Generation . . . 20

The Importance of Developer Experience . . . 20

Copyright Indemnification . . . 20

Conclusion . . . 21

About the IDC Analysts . . . 23

Message from the Sponsor . . . 24

Situation Overview

The Current State of Adoption of AI Coding Assistants

The adoption of AI coding assistants has expanded exponentially in the past three years, both in terms of adoption and the number of available tools, enabling software vendors to meet the needs of a wide range of enterprise use cases. A number of factors have driven the rapid enterprise adoption of AI coding assistants, including the need for faster delivery cycles and access to innovation in response to market demands, the challenge of rethinking development team structures and processes in light of ever-increasing demand for software innovation and improvement, and the demand for increased integration with cloud-based environments and DevOps workflows.

As the ecosystem has expanded, the market has diversified from generalist tools designed to address broad use cases and support multiple programming languages and frameworks to specialized tools tailored for specific languages, domains, or industries, such as data science, embedded systems, or security-focused development. Increasingly, organizations expect their AI coding assistants to generate code based on their own code base and to address aspects of the software development life cycle (SDLC) beyond code generation, and software vendors are delivering these features to meet enterprise needs.

This white paper analyzes the results of a worldwide survey of more than 1,000 IT professionals. This data shows that organizations that have widely

deployed AI coding assistants for a year or more are reaping greater benefits from their use compared to other organizations. More than 60% of respondents reported widespread use, with about half of that group having widely adopted these tools for a year or more. **Figure 1** shows the distribution of maturity levels that survey respondents indicated.

FIGURE 1

AI Coding Assistant Use by Maturity Level

Which of the following best describes your organization's use of AI coding assistants?
(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

Given that enterprises gain more benefits as they become more mature in their use of AI coding assistants, organizations that haven't yet started down this road should be aware that they risk falling further behind in realizing the benefits of adopting these tools if they continue to delay.

Key Definitions



AI Coding Assistants

AI-powered technologies designed to support developers with respect to the writing, debugging, testing, documentation, and standardization of code. These assistants use large language models and deep learning technologies to deliver code autocompletion, generate code, create and execute test cases, document code, optimize code performance, and standardize code.

Developer Productivity

The efficiency and effectiveness with which developers can build, test, and deploy software. The metrics that typically measure these include, but are not limited to, code quality, deployment frequency, lead time for changes, mean time to restore (the mean time to recover from a failure), change failure rate (the percentage of deployments that cause adverse outcomes), and developer satisfaction. AI-driven technologies, including code assistants and AI agents, play a crucial role in enhancing developer productivity by automating manual processes, streamlining workflows, and improving developer experience.

AI Agents

Autonomous or semiautonomous technologies that can perceive their environment, process information, make decisions, and take actions. AI agents can operate independently without constant human intervention. In the context of software development, AI agents can take responsibility for development workstreams that intersect with the capabilities of AI coding assistants. A key attribute of AI agents is their ability to learn from experience and continuously improve.

IDC Opinion

While almost all developers worldwide use AI coding assistants, adoption rates vary widely between countries, industries, and company sizes. In IDC's survey, 29.5% of respondents said that their organizations had been widely using coding assistants for a year or more (**Figure 2**). The combined share of organizations evaluating/piloting or with limited use of AI coding assistants is about the same in all regions, with North America just above 40% and Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) and Asia/Pacific (APAC) just below 40%. North America has the largest share of organizations with long-term widespread use — 4.5 percentage points more than APAC and 7.6 points more than EMEA.

FIGURE 2

AI Coding Assistant Use by Region

Which of the following best describes your organization's use of AI coding assistants?
(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

With respect to company size, 72.1% of businesses with between 500 and 999 employees are still evaluating or piloting coding assistants. That number declines to 8.6% for companies with between 1,000 and 2,499 employees. All respondents who work for companies with more than 10,000 employees reported that their organizations have progressed beyond the evaluation and piloting phase. Moreover, 92.4% of the respondents from the largest companies said that the use of AI coding assistants is widespread in their organizations. However, most of those respondents said that this widespread use has only occurred in the past year (see **Figure 3**).

FIGURE 3

AI Coding Assistant Use by Company Size

Which of the following best describes your organization's use of AI coding assistants?
(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

All survey respondents who work for companies with more than 10,000 employees reported that their organizations have **progressed beyond the evaluation and piloting phase.**

The Impact of Long-Term Use

Now that AI coding assistants have been available for a few years, it is becoming clear that organizations gain more benefits from these tools the longer they use them, as the survey data shows. An analysis of the 29.5% of respondents who said that their team or organization has been using coding assistants for a year or more shows that these organizations recognize more benefits from their use than recent adopters.

Overall, 38.8% of respondents said that developers in their team or organization are using coding assistants every day. However, the share varies widely depending on how long the respondents' organizations have been using these tools. Respondents from the most mature organizations reported that 57.3% of their developers are using these AI coding assistants daily, compared to 44.1%, 24.1%, and 16.0% for the less mature organizations (see **Figure 4**).

FIGURE 4

Daily Use of AI Coding Assistants

What share of developers within your organization or team are regularly using coding assistants on a daily basis?
(Percentage of respondents)

57% of developers in most mature responding organizations reported that they are using these AI coding assistants daily.



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

The share of net new applications generated using coding assistants also increases as organizations move from limited to widespread use (see **Figure 5,** next page). On average, organizations are using coding assistants to build as much as 45.6% of their net new applications. For the most mature organizations, this share is 53.4%, compared to 43.0% for organizations with short-term widespread use. The same holds true when IDC asked about the average share of code generation: 69.7% for the most mature organizations, compared to 53.2% for the next most mature organizations.

FIGURE 5

Net New Applications

What share of net new applications are being developed using coding assistants in your organization or team?

(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

Finally, the data shows that the longer the tools have been in use, the more productive developers using AI coding assistants become. In this case, the survey data shows a gap between organizations that have adopted AI coding assistants in a limited capacity compared to those with widespread use for any length of time. In less mature organizations, about three-quarters of respondents said that AI coding assistants had improved developer productivity. That share rises to 96% among more mature organizations with widespread use of AI coding assistants. In other words, AI coding assistants increase productivity across the board, but only after their adoption is widespread. Enterprises that move from limited to widespread use are likely to have learned how best to leverage these tools within their organization. As a result, new developers in the organization who adopt AI coding assistants will not have to contend with some of the early adoption challenges, which helps boost their productivity more quickly.

In contrast to the differences mentioned above, there are also factors that remain consistent across organizations that are using AI coding assistants in any capacity:

- Nearly all respondents, regardless of adoption level, said that their organization **plans to maintain or increase the use of AI coding assistants.** Overall, just 1.7% of respondents said that their organization plans to decrease the adoption of AI coding assistants in the next 12 months.
- Somewhat surprisingly, respondents across all levels of maturity reported **accepting code suggestions without revision** at about the same rate (50.6% for those evaluating/piloting AI coding assistants and 45.8% for organizations with widespread use for a year or more). Enterprises can use the acceptance rate as a metric to test different tools during the early phases of evaluation.
- The biggest challenge that respondents faced, regardless of their maturity level, was that **coding assistants generated suggestions that were not consistent with their organization's coding style,** a problem that at least 52% of respondents at all levels of maturity faced. When evaluating AI coding assistants for adoption, organizations should consider the ways in which different vendors' tools facilitate the generation of code that conforms to organizational standards.
- Organizations with different maturity levels cited the same benefits of using AI coding assistants and saw **similar levels of effectiveness when using coding assistants with different programming languages for most languages.**

When evaluating AI coding assistants for adoption, organizations should consider the ways in which different vendors' tools facilitate the generation of code that conforms to organizational standards.



9 Benefits of Adopting AI Coding Assistants

The widespread adoption of AI coding assistants by developers in a wide range of industry verticals and geographies has amplified the importance of understanding the benefits these tools deliver to organizations and their development teams.

IDC's research demonstrates that, as AI coding assistants become central to contemporary coding processes, they drive broader organizational efficiencies and innovation by delivering the following business benefits:

1. **Faster time to market**
2. **Augmented innovation**
3. **Increased job satisfactions**

These benefits empower organizations to remain competitive in a rapidly changing business and regulatory landscape.

In addition to these organizational advantages, AI coding assistants deliver the following development-related benefits:

4. **Improved code quality**
5. **Code standardization**
6. **Maintainability**
7. **Readability**
8. **The accelerated resolution of development-related issues**
9. **Reduction of development-related learning curve**

Moreover, AI coding assistants enhance individual productivity and code quality by allowing developers to focus on more complex and innovative tasks. IDC's survey of IT decision-makers identified numerous benefits from the use of AI coding assistants (see **Figure 6,** next page).

FIGURE 6

AI Coding Assistant Benefits

Thinking about AI coding assistants you have used within the last 12 months, what are the top 3 benefits of coding assistants?
(Percentage of respondents)

n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

Survey findings underscore the multifaceted benefits of AI coding assistants, highlighting their impact on individual developers and broader organizational processes.

The business and development-related benefits include:

- **Improved code quality:**
  This stands out as the most significant benefit, with 46.1% of respondents identifying it as a top advantage. This suggests that developers highly value the ability of AI coding assistants to help them write cleaner, more efficient code, which, in turn, reduces the likelihood of errors and enhances overall software quality.

- **Accelerated developer velocity and faster time to market:**
  These are also prominent benefits, cited by 34.7% and 34.9% of respondents, respectively. These findings indicate that AI coding assistants play a crucial role in speeding up the development process, allowing developers to work more efficiently and meet tight deadlines. This acceleration is particularly valuable in today's fast-paced tech environment, where time to market can be a critical competitive factor.

- **Opportunity to focus on higher-value tasks:**
  A total of 34.4% of respondents mentioned this. By automating routine and repetitive coding tasks, AI coding assistants free up developers to concentrate on more complex and innovative aspects of their projects. This shift not only enhances productivity but also contributes to greater job satisfaction, as 31.5% of respondents indicated.

- **Code standardization:**
  This is another important benefit, which 33.7% of respondents cited. AI coding assistants help ensure that code adheres to consistent standards and best practices, making it easier to maintain and collaborate on projects. This standardization is crucial for large teams and organizations, where maintaining uniformity in code can significantly reduce errors and improve overall project quality.

- **Accelerated resolution of development-related issues:**
  Of the respondents, 33.8% reported that AI coding assistants contribute to development-related issue resolution. These tools assist in quickly identifying and fixing bugs, reducing downtime, and improving the overall development workflow. This capability is particularly valuable in maintaining the stability and reliability of software products.

Overall, these findings underscore the multifaceted benefits of AI coding assistants, highlighting their impact on individual developers and broader organizational processes. These tools not only enhance code quality and developer productivity but also drive significant efficiencies and innovation within development teams.

Moreover, mature organizations with widespread use of AI coding assistants for a year or more reported greater benefits than other respondents. Consider the following:

- **Faster time to market:**
  The most mature organizations are six points (38.1% versus 32%) more likely to report faster time to market as one of the top 3 benefits of AI coding assistants, compared to organizations that are evaluating or piloting these tools. This suggests that organizations that have been using AI coding assistants the longest experience greater efficiencies in bringing products to market.

- **Opportunity to focus developers on higher-value development tasks:**
  About a third of respondents in three of the four maturity categories cited this benefit. Respondents from organizations with limited use of AI coding assistants were 6.5 points less likely to include this benefit in the top 3 compared to respondents from the most mature companies. These results may indicate that organizations need time to incorporate AI coding assistants into their development workflows, causing a slowdown in the accrual of benefits in the early stages of adoption. At the same time, the increase highlights that long-term use of AI coding assistants allows developers to increasingly focus on more strategic and innovative tasks.

- **Reduction of the development-related learning curve:**
  About a quarter of respondents from the most mature organizations said that reduction of the development-related learning curve is one of the top 3 benefits of AI coding assistants, compared to 20.9% of the second most mature group — an increase of four points. Organizations should expect the time spent on onboarding and training developers to decrease once they have adopted AI coding assistants more broadly.

Survey respondents claimed widespread use of AI coding assistants for a year or more shows that many of the benefits are more pronounced with prolonged use.

Overall, the data from the cohort of respondents who claimed widespread use of AI coding assistants for a year or more shows that many of the benefits of AI coding assistants are more pronounced with prolonged use. Organizations that have integrated these technologies extensively over a longer period tend to experience greater improvements in developer velocity, time to market, and the ability to focus on higher-value tasks. These insights underscore the importance of sustained adoption to fully realize the potential of AI coding assistants in enhancing both individual and organizational performance.

Developer Productivity

IDC's survey illustrates that AI coding assistants deliver significantly improved developer productivity, with broad adoption leading to quantifiable productivity gains. **Figure 7** shows that 89% of developers reported productivity improvements, while only 1% experienced a decrease and 10% saw no change.

FIGURE 7

AI Coding Assistant Impact

How would you describe the impact of coding assistants on your productivity as a developer?
(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

All of the respondents who said their productivity had decreased were in the least mature group of companies, indicating that developers quickly learn to leverage these tools to reduce the manual production of boilerplate code, automate repetitive coding tasks, such as testing and code documentation, and contribute to accelerated QA and enhanced code quality.

An analysis of the responses to this question (Figure 7) identified four takeaways about the productivity of developers:

- AI coding assistants **drive significant productivity gains** at every level of maturity.
- According to the survey, AI coding assistants have the potential to **increase developer productivity** by an average of 35%. This productivity boost translates to approximately 10 hours in additional bandwidth per week that these developers can apply to a variety of activities, such as complex problem solving, strategic system design, code optimization, collaborative code reviews, learning new technologies, and innovative feature development.
- The enhanced productivity that developers experience as a result of the use of AI coding assistants enables them to **focus on higher-value development tasks,** such as application architecture and the delivery of innovation more generally.

AI coding assistants have the potential to increase developer productivity by an average of 35%. This productivity boost translates to nearly 10 hours in additional bandwidth per week.

As previously mentioned, productivity gains are even more pronounced for developers who reported widespread use of AI coding assistants for a year or more; 96% of these developers reported productivity gains, which represents a six-percentage-point increase over the aggregate cohort. Importantly, no developers in the "widespread use" cohort reported a decrease in their productivity as a result of using AI coding assistants.

As noted earlier, AI coding assistants deliver an average of a 35% increase in developer productivity, enabling teams to accomplish significantly more within the same time period. For example, developers could deliver a new feature that would normally take 12 weeks to develop in seven to eight weeks by leveraging AI coding assistants. This expansion of development capabilities translates into operational advantages that include increased project completion capacity, technical debt resolution, and additional bandwidth for innovation. For mature organizations with widespread use of AI coding assistants, these productivity gains increase further because more developers within the organization are taking advantage and using them more frequently.

These data points corroborate the proposition that developers who have more experience with AI coding assistants experience greater productivity benefits as a result of their use. IDC believes that the amplified productivity benefits that developers who have more experience with AI coding assistants experience

are attributable to the experience that developers acquire with effectively integrating these technologies into their development workflows and processes. As developers have the opportunity to experiment with these tools, they experience productivity benefits that increase as they gain more in-depth knowledge of how to effectively use these technologies. As a result, developers can streamline their workflows more effectively over time. The cohort of developers who reported widespread use of AI coding assistants is also likely to leverage AI coding assistants for more than just code suggestions, incorporating them into debugging, refactoring, and architectural decision-making processes.

Key Organizational Considerations for Adopting AI Coding Assistants

Resistance is one of the top barriers according to 54% of respondents at the most mature organizations, compared to 51% for those that are evaluating or piloting these tools.

Barriers to Adopting AI Coding Assistants

Enterprises have widely adopted AI coding assistants despite several barriers to adoption. Resistance on the part of developers is one of the top barriers that organizations face when adopting coding assistants. According to IDC's survey data, the level of developer resistance does not appear to decline over time. At the most mature organizations, 53.8% of respondents reported resistance as one of their top barriers, compared to 50.6% for those that are evaluating or piloting these tools. It may be the case that more mature organizations are seeking to increase automation levels beyond developers' comfort levels. Coding assistants that are tightly and smoothly integrated into developers' existing workflows can help reduce resistance.

Ensuring the consistency of code suggestions with organizational coding conventions is another major barrier that organizations face across the board (55.2% for mature organizations compared to 52.1% for recent adopters). To address this problem, many coding assistants have added the capability to customize responses to a developer's or an organization's code using retrieval-augmented generation, a capability that is quickly becoming table stakes for vendors, given the need to incorporate generated code into existing software development workflows.

Interestingly, enterprises are less concerned about the accuracy and reliability of AI-generated code than one might expect. Moreover, IDC's survey shows that more mature organizations are less concerned about low-quality responses than less mature organizations (29.4% versus 38.3%), indicating that this obstacle may become less of a problem going forward. This may be another example of organizations learning over time how to get the best results from these tools.

Organizations should plan to train and upskill their developers to maximize the effectiveness of AI coding assistants.

Organizations should adopt coding assistants that make it easier to control, monitor, and audit their use.

Organizations have a wide range of metrics at their disposal for evaluating AI coding assistants.

Developer Skills

Developers, who have always had a high degree of autonomy in selecting the tools they use, are often resistant to adopting new tools. Comfort level with their existing tools and, perhaps more importantly, their current workflow may make developers less likely to welcome new tools that can disrupt existing workflows. The challenge of integrating new tools into established processes can also make developers less willing to adopt them. It's also important to recognize that by generating code, coding assistants challenge developers' sense of expertise. They may feel that these tools are less reliable than code they have written themselves.

To encourage and facilitate adoption, organizations should plan to train and upskill their developers to maximize the effectiveness of AI coding assistants. IDC's survey found that debugging AI-generated code is the most important skill for effectively using AI coding assistants, followed by understanding AI's limitations, evaluating code suggestions, writing clear prompts, and managing version control. Survey respondents also reported that using AI coding assistants helped them improve development-related skills, including optimizing code (56.3%), writing code (48.4%), and documenting code (40.9%).

That said, the cognitive process of evaluating generated code is different from the process of writing code from scratch. One of the reasons is automation bias: the tendency of individuals to assume that the output of automated systems is correct, which can lead to the acceptance of errors that would not have otherwise occurred. Instead of focusing on the creative act of writing code, developers will instead have to learn to leverage their strengths in problem solving and iterative thinking, which can help them to more critically evaluate the quality of generated code.

Security and Compliance

Organizations should adopt coding assistants that make it easier to control, monitor, and audit their use. In addition to providing capabilities for secure authentication, access control, network use, and encryption, some vendors have obtained security certifications, such as SOC 2 and ISO 27001, which ensure that the tools have the necessary controls in place to protect the service's security. Other factors to consider are the legal, regulatory, and organizational requirements for data residency and data sovereignty that drive organizations to choose coding assistants hosted in specific regions or countries.

Quantification of Value

Organizations have a wide range of metrics at their disposal for evaluating AI coding assistants that measure diverse impacts, such as productivity increase, code quality, security, collaboration enhancement, and job satisfaction. More than half of the survey respondents said that their organizations are using code quality metrics to evaluate the impact of AI coding assistants (see **Figure 8**). Given that improved code quality was the top benefit of AI coding assistants that respondents selected, it is clear that coding assistants can have a measurable impact on code quality.

FIGURE 8

Metrics for Measuring the Impact of AI Coding Assistants

Which of the following quantitative metrics do you use to measure the impact of AI coding assistants on your organization or team?

(Percentage of respondents)



n = 1,015; Source: *IDC AI Coding Assistant Survey,* November 2024

Organizations should select AI coding assistants that emphasize capabilities beyond code generation.

Organizations should carefully evaluate the developer experience that AI coding assistants provide to ensure optimal effectiveness.

Enterprises should consider their AI coding assistant vendor's indemnification policy.

Decision Criteria for Selecting AI Coding Assistants

Beyond Code Generation

Now that coding assistants have matured and the ecosystem has diversified, organizations should select AI coding assistants that emphasize capabilities beyond code generation, such as code reviews, testing, deployment, and documentation. IDC's survey data shows that developers only spend about 15% of their work time on coding. As a result, AI coding assistants can dramatically increase developer productivity by automating tasks other than code generation. Moreover, since coding is the task that most exemplifies the profession, developers are often more willing to automate other aspects of their job, such as documentation and testing.

The Importance of Developer Experience

Organizations should carefully evaluate the developer experience that AI coding assistants provide to ensure optimal effectiveness. AI coding assistants are most beneficial when they eliminate toil, defined as manual, repetitive tasks that are tactical and interrupt-driven rather than strategic and proactive. Technical factors that impact the developer experience include the quality and robustness of integration with other tools, especially IDEs, the ability to customize coding results to align with enterprise patterns and best practices, and the maximum context length for input, which can affect the quality of results.

Copyright Indemnification

Finally, enterprises should consider their AI coding assistant vendor's indemnification policy. It will be years before the courts resolve the copyright infringement cases brought against model creators. More than half of the survey respondents raised concerns that generated code plagiarizes open source code, potentially introducing viral software licenses into their code base. Organizations are also concerned about the use of copyrighted code to train the underlying models, and they do not want to face copyright suits for making commercial use of copyrighted code. To mitigate these risks, some vendors provide indemnification to protect organizations accused of copyright violations attributable to the use of generated code.

Conclusion

AI coding assistants represent a transformative shift in software development by accelerating time to market, augmenting innovation, and enhancing developer productivity. By automating repetitive coding tasks, improving code quality, and accelerating development cycles, these technologies empower developers to focus on high-value problem-solving and innovation.

The adoption of AI coding assistants represents a key step toward the realization of an AI-first enterprise that integrates intelligence into its business operations by default.

In addition to efficiency gains, organizations that integrate AI coding assistants into their workflows can expect to reap the benefits of a development process that can adapt to the changing demands of today's business landscape. As such, the adoption of AI coding assistants represents a key step toward the realization of an AI-first enterprise that integrates intelligence into its business operations by default.

The adoption of AI coding assistants marks the beginning of a longer journey toward an AI-first enterprise. While organizations are still working to implement and gain maximum benefit from established GenAI-powered tools such as AI coding assistants, agentic AI has begun disrupting the software development life cycle by promising to automate repetitive tasks and accelerate application development. Over the next few years, agentic AI will progressively enable autonomous decision-making, streamline workflows, and reduce manual work, leading to faster and more efficient software development processes.

AI agents may also facilitate better collaboration between development, security, and business teams, ensuring continuous integration and delivery of digital solutions to real business problems. However, agentic AI is in its infancy, and its implementation will require robust governance frameworks to manage risks, ensure transparency, and maintain security.

IDC predicts that agentic AI will complement AI coding assistants by autonomously performing development-related tasks while developers oversee the operation of AI agents. IDC believes that developers will leverage AI coding assistants to create, manage, and oversee AI agents. As a result, the future of AI-assisted development involves the conjunction of AI agents and AI coding assistants. Organizations would do well to deepen their proficiency with respect to the adoption and widespread usage of AI coding assistants as a means of ensuring the successful adoption of AI agents as well as the expedient realization of an AI-first enterprise.

Organizations would do well to deepen their proficiency with respect to the adoption and widespread usage of AI coding assistants as a means of ensuring the successful adoption of AI agents as well as the expedient realization of an AI-first enterprise.

About the IDC Analysts

Arnal Dayaratna

Research Vice President,
Software Development, IDC

Dr. Arnal Dayaratna is research vice president of Software Development at IDC. Dayaratna focuses on software developer demographics, trends in programming languages and other application development tools, and the intersection of these development environments and the many emerging technologies that are enabling and driving digital transformation. Dayaratna's research examines how the changing nature of software development relates to broader trends in the technology landscape.

More about Arnal Dayaratna

Michele Rosen

Research Manager,
Open GenAI, LLMs, and the Evolving Open Source Ecosystem, IDC

Michele Rosen is Research Manager for IDC's Open GenAI, LLMs, and the Evolving Open-Source Ecosystem practice. Dr. Rosen's research focuses on the open-source ecosystem and the emerging role of open-source communities in delivering and governing GenAI software and large language models (LLMs). Open-source tools such as Jupyter, PyTorch, and TensorFlow have been integral to the development of generative AI, and open LLMs are beginning to play a significant role in fostering innovation and democratizing access to powerful natural language processing tools.

More about Michele Rosen

Message from the Sponsor

Google Cloud

Development leaders are under constant pressure, and Gemini Code Assist helps by driving team-wide impact and delivering tangible results. How? By empowering your entire team with AI that extends beyond individual code completion.

Gemini Code Assist introduces agentic workflows to automate complex SDLC processes — from migrations to documentation — freeing developers for higher-value innovation. The Kanban Board provides critical visibility and control, ensuring coordinated AI-driven tasks are aligned with team goals. Code customization capabilities enforce organizational standards, guaranteeing consistent quality across projects. And with enterprise-grade security and seamless integrations, Gemini Code Assist fits seamlessly into your existing workflows, maximizing ROI and minimizing disruption.

Discover how Gemini Code Assist can transform your team's performance today with up to 50 free licenses for the first month.

Learn more: goo.gle/gemini-code-assist-enterprise

IDC Custom Solutions

IDC Custom Solutions produced this publication. The opinion, analysis, and research results presented herein are drawn from more detailed research and analysis that IDC independently conducted and published, unless specific vendor sponsorship is noted. IDC Custom Solutions makes IDC content available in a wide range of formats for distribution by various companies. This IDC material is licensed for external use and in no way does the use or publication of IDC research indicate IDC's endorsement of the sponsor's or licensee's products or strategies.

IDC Research, Inc.
140 Kendrick Street, Building B, Needham, MA 02494, USA
T +1 508 872 8200

idc.com @idc @idc

International Data Corporation (IDC) is the premier global provider of market intelligence, advisory services, and events for the information technology, telecommunications, and consumer technology markets. With more than 1,300 analysts worldwide, IDC offers global, regional, and local expertise on technology and industry opportunities and trends in over 110 countries. IDC's analysis and insight helps IT professionals, business executives, and the investment community to make fact-based technology decisions and to achieve their key business objectives.